

Title:

Endoscopic vacuum therapy: management of upper gastrointestinal anastomotic leaks and esophageal perforations

Authors:

María de Armas Conde, Carmen Díaz-López, Vanessa Concepción-Martín, María Del Pilar Borque-Barrera

DOI: 10.17235/reed.2024.10424/2024 Link: PubMed (Epub ahead of print)

Please cite this article as:

de Armas Conde María, Díaz-López Carmen , Concepción-Martín Vanessa , Borque-Barrera María Del Pilar . Endoscopic vacuum therapy: management of upper gastrointestinal anastomotic leaks and esophageal perforations. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2024. doi: 10.17235/reed.2024.10424/2024.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Endoscopic vacuum therapy: management of upper gastrointestinal anastomotic leaks and esophageal perforations

María De Armas-Conde ¹

Carmen Díaz-López ²

Vanessa Concepción-Martín ³

María Del Pilar Borque-Barrera⁴

- General and Digestive System Surgery Department. Hospital Universitario Nuestra Señora de la Candelaria, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain. Email: mariadearmasconde@gmail.com
- General and Digestive System Surgery Department. Hospital Universitario Nuestra Señora de la Candelaria, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain. Email: car84dlp@gmail.com
- 3. General and Digestive System Surgery Department. Hospital Universitario Nuestra Señora de la Candelaria, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain. Email: vamahu@gmail.com
- 4. Gastroenterology and Hepatology Department. Hospital Universitario Nuestra Señora de la Candelaria, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain. Email: piloria@hotmail.com

This manuscript is submitted on behalf of all authors and that they have all participated in the work to be published.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

María De Armas Conde

Hospital Universitario Nuestra Señora de la Candelaria. Carretera General del Rosario, 145. CP 38010. Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain.

mariadearmasconde@gmail.com



KEYWORDS: Endoluminal vacuum. Upper gastrointestinal leak. Esophageal anastomotic leak. Gastroenteric anastomosis leak. Esophageal perforation.

ABBREVIATION:

GI: gastrointestinal

EVAC: endoluminal vacuum

EA: esophageal anastomotic

GE: gastroenteric

EP: esophageal perforation

mm: milimeters

Dear Editor,

Upper gastrointestinal (GI) leaks, such as upper anastomotic leaks or esophageal perforations are associated with severe morbidity (53%) and mortality (0,5% to 10%). Endoluminal vacuum (EVAC) therapy is a promising approach for repairing effectively these defects. The technology functions by applying continuous negative pressure to the woundand it has been shown to treat anastomotic leaks and esophageal perforations effectively, with better results than stents in limited cohorts. [1,2,3,4,5]

Our study describes the results obtained from a series of cases treated with EVAC for the management of esophageal anastomotic (EA) leak following esophagectomy for cancer, gastroenteric (GE) anastomoses leak after bariatric surgery and esophageal perforation (EP).

We retrospectively analyzed ten patients who had an EA and GE anastomoses leaks and EP treated with EVAC. We described the results of the sample in terms of treatment failure, treatment duration, and number of EVAC replacements.

Five patients underwent esophagectomy with neoadjuvant radio-chemotherapy, one patient underwent gastrojejunal bypass bariatric surgery and there were four EP. The median size of mucosal defects was 6,9 mm. None of patients presented signs of ischemic mucosal. EVAC treatment began at a median of two days after diagnosis. The median



duration of treatment was thirteen days and a median of 3,6 interventions were performed in each patient every three to four days. EVAC therapy was finished when the leak was closed or when the treatment failed (figure 1). The mean duration of admission was twenty-seven and a half days. Treatment success rate was 70%. Treatment failure was 30%: two patients required surgery and in one case an endoluminal prosthesis (table 1).

Our study reflects that vacuum therapy is an appropriate non-surgical treatment for the management of postoperative fistulas in the upper gastrointestinal tract and for esophageal perforation, especially in anastomotic fistulas, where this treatment is considered first-line in several centers. Longer treatments are associated with patients who have undergone neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and larger fistulas. However, technical aspects such as materials, vacuum pressure, and replacement intervals are not standardized, and further studies are needed to clarify these aspects [1,2,3,4,5].

DECLARATIONS:

Not applicable. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

All figures, images and tables are original work created by the authors for the purpose of this publication.

My co-authors and I hold all rights of use for every submitted figure and table and no third party holds rights of use.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Lange J, Kähler G, Bernhardt J, et al. The VACStent trial: combined treatment of esophageal leaks by covered stent and endoscopic vacuum therapy. Surg Endosc. 2023 May;37(5):3657-3668.
- 2. Kouladouros K. Applications of endoscopic vacuum therapy in the upper gastrointestinal tract. World J Gastrointest Endosc. 2023 Jun 16;15(6):420-433.



- 3. Zhong WD, Hu G, Feng ZH, et al. Endoluminal Vacuum-Assisted Closure Therapy for Upper Gastrointestinal Leak, Perforation, and Fistula: A Case Series and Literature Review. Dig Dis. 2023;41(3):506-512.
- 4. Panneerselvam K, Jacob JS, Samuel RE, et al. Endoscopic vacuum therapy for treatment of spontaneous and iatrogenic upper gastrointestinal defects: a case series. Clin Endosc. 2023 May 9.
- 5. Gutschow CA, Schlag C, Vetter D. Endoscopic vacuum therapy in the upper gastrointestinal tract: when and how to use it. Langenbecks Arch Surg. 2022 May;407(3):957-964.



Figure 1. A. Male patient diagnosed with postoperative anastomotic leak after Ivor-Lewis operation for esophageal cancer. The leak size was 5 mm in diameter. B. Second replacement of ESO-sponge[®]: a decrease in the size of the leak with granulation tissue is evident. C. The anastomotic leak was completely healed twenty-five days after treatment.

Color printing



Table 1. Clinical characteristics

Variables	Median
Patients	10
Male	10
Age, years	63
WBC, ×10 ³ /uL 8.59	15002
CRP, mg/dL	25,44
Neoadjuvant treatment	
• No	5
• Yes	5
Operation	
Ivor-Lewis operation	5
Roux-in-Y gastrojejunal bypass	1
Esophageal perforation	
latrogenic	2
 Spontaneous 	2
Anastomotic leak	
Time to diagnosis, days	5
Fistula size, mm	6,9
Mucosal ischemia on EGD	0
EVAC treatment	
Time to treatment, days	2
Duration of EVAC,	13
• Number of interventions 5 (2–12)	3,6
Treatment failure, patients	3



Hospital stay, days	27,5
Mortality	1

