

Title:

Clinical-pathological correlation of endoscopic findings in eosinophilic esophagitis in the pediatric population

Authors:

Julio César Moreno-Alfonso, Carlos Delgado-Miguel, Ada Molina Caballero, Alberto Pérez Martínez, María Concepción Yárnoz Irazábal

DOI: 10.17235/reed.2024.10723/2024

Link: [PubMed \(Epub ahead of print\)](#)

Please cite this article as:

Moreno-Alfonso Julio César, Delgado-Miguel Carlos, Molina Caballero Ada, Pérez Martínez Alberto, Yárnoz Irazábal María Concepción. Clinical-pathological correlation of endoscopic findings in eosinophilic esophagitis in the pediatric population. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2024. doi: 10.17235/reed.2024.10723/2024.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

CC 10723 inglés

Clinical-pathological correlation of endoscopic findings in eosinophilic esophagitis in the pediatric population

Julio César Moreno-Alfonso^{1,2}, Carlos Delgado-Miguel^{3,4}, Ada Molina Caballero¹, Alberto Pérez Martínez¹, María Concepción Yárnoz Irazábal^{2,5}

Departments of ¹Pediatric Surgery and ⁵General and Digestive Surgery. Hospital Universitario de Navarra. Pamplona, Navarra. Spain. ²Doctoral School of Health Sciences. Universidad Pública de Navarra (UPNA). Pamplona, Navarra. Spain. ³Pediatric Surgery Department. Hospital Universitario Fundación Jiménez Díaz. Madrid, Spain. ⁴Institute for Health Research IdiPAZ. Hospital Universitario La Paz. Madrid, Spain

Correspondence: Julio César Moreno-Alfonso

e-mail: juliomoreno.md@gmail.com

Authors' contribution: Writing-original draft: J. C. M.; writing-review and editing: C. D., A. M. C., A. P. M., and M. C. Y. I.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Artificial intelligence: the authors declare that they did not use artificial intelligence (AI) or any AI-assisted technologies in the elaboration of the article.

Keywords: Eosinophilic esophagitis. Dysphagia. Esophagus. Endoscopy. Pediatrics.

Dear Editor,

Eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) is an immunologic disorder of the esophagus with an increasing incidence in our region of 8.1 cases per 100,000 inhabitants per year (1). It is characterized by dysphagia, and its diagnosis requires esophagoscopy with biopsies for histopathological analysis, which macroscopically reveals certain characteristic endoscopic

findings, although their diagnostic utility remains uncertain (2,3). The correlation between these endoscopic findings and the histopathological diagnosis of EoE continues to be the subject of controversy in the pediatric population. This study evaluates the clinical-pathological association of different endoscopic abnormalities in EoE. We conducted an analytical study of patients under 15 years of age who underwent esophagoscopy due to highly suspicious symptoms of EoE at a pediatric hospital between 2015 and 2022 (Reg. 341E/2023). Patients with normal pathological reports and those with a histological diagnosis of EoE were included; children with different diagnoses were excluded from the analysis. The prevalence of different macroscopic abnormalities, as determined by the agreement of at least two of the three endoscopists present during the procedure, were compared along with their association with histopathological abnormalities between patients with EoE and those without the disease (NEoE). A total of 24 subjects with EoE and 17 NEoE children were included. Endoscopic normality, defined as the absence of macroscopic esophageal mucosal lesions, was more prevalent among NEoE patients, whereas the most prevalent macroscopic abnormality in EoE patients was trachealization ($p < 0.05$) (Table 1).

The diagnostic criteria for EoE include symptoms of esophageal dysfunction, > 15 intraepithelial eosinophils/HPF, and limited esophageal involvement in the absence of other causes of esophageal eosinophilia. Therefore, diagnosis ultimately requires esophagoscopy (4). Compared to previous studies describing endoscopic normality in 10-30 % of EoE cases (5), our data show 12 % normality in EoE patients, which aligns with these figures but also highlights the importance of other findings, such as trachealization. In fact, endoscopic normality was significantly higher in NEoE patients compared to those with EoE (47 % vs 12 %; $p = 0.014$), suggesting that macroscopic normality might initially point to another etiology for the symptoms, although the definition of “normality” has a significant subjective component. Trachealization, a characteristic finding of the disease, is present in 5-50 % of EoE patients. In our cohort, esophageal trachealization was documented in 25 % of EoE patients, while it was absent in all NEoE subjects. This was the only macroscopic finding that demonstrated a statistically significant association with EoE ($p = 0.026$). In an analysis of 189 paired biopsies from 115 children with EoE, macroscopic endoscopic scores (edema, rings, exudates, furrows, and strictures) moderately correlated with histological

scores ($r = 0.61$) (6). In our cohort, the concurrent presence of exudates, trachealization, and longitudinal furrows was more common in EoE patients than in NEE children (16 % vs 0 %), although it was not statistically significant ($p = 0.076$).

Although this study has limitations related to sample size and the subjectivity of interpreting endoscopic findings, our results suggest that the absence of endoscopic abnormalities in the esophagus should prompt consideration of other differential diagnoses, while trachealization is associated with the histological diagnosis of EoE. Furthermore, the combination of exudates, trachealization, and longitudinal furrows should warrant continued clinical vigilance in the presence of indeterminate histological results.

References

1. Escribano Sanz P, García Romero R, Ros Arnal I, et al. Increased incidence of eosinophilic esophagitis among the child population of Zaragoza. An emerging disease. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig* 2024;116(5):288-9. DOI: 10.17235/reed.2023.9701/2023
2. Martín Martín L, Santander Vaquero C, Sánchez Prudencio S, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis in the adult: clinical, endoscopic, pH-metric, and manometric findings. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig* 2008;100(8):476-80. DOI: 10.4321/S1130-01082008000800006
3. Rothenberg ME. Eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders (EGID). *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004;113:11-29. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaci.2003.10.047
4. Dhar A, Haboubi HN, Attwood SE, et al. British Society of Gastroenterology (BSG) and British Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (BSPGHAN) joint consensus guidelines on the diagnosis and management of eosinophilic oesophagitis in children and adults. *Gut* 2022;71(8):1459-87. DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2022-327326
5. Arias Á, Lucendo AJ. Incidence and prevalence of eosinophilic oesophagitis increase continuously in adults and children in Central Spain: a 12-year population-based study. *Dig Liver Dis* 2019;51(1):55-62. DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2018.07.016
6. Hiremath G, Correa H, Acra S, et al. Correlation of endoscopic signs and mucosal alterations in children with eosinophilic esophagitis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2020;91(4):785-94.e1. DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2019.11.031

Table 1. Macroscopic endoscopic findings and their histopathological association with eosinophilic esophagitis

<i>Macroscopic endoscopic abnormality n (%)</i>	<i>NEoE (n = 17)</i>	<i>EoE (n = 24)</i>	<i>p value</i>
None	8 (47.1 %)	3 (12.5 %)	0.014
Erythema	5 (29.4 %)	3 (12.5 %)	0.178
Mucosal friability	1 (5.9 %)	0 (0 %)	0.229
Exudates	1 (5.9 %)	3 (12.5 %)	0.482
Trachealization	0 (0 %)	6 (25 %)	0.026
Longitudinal furrows	0 (0 %)	1 (4.2 %)	0.394
Incompetent cardia	0 (0 %)	1 (4.2 %)	0.394
Exudates, trachealization and longitudinal furrows	0 (0 %)	4 (16.7 %)	0.076
Exudates and trachealization	2 (11.8 %)	3 (12.5 %)	0.943

EoE: with eosinophilic esophagitis; NEoE: without eosinophilic esophagitis.