

Title:

Early diagnostic endoscopy and prophylactic stenting after robotic transthoracic esophagectomy - From scepticism to standardization

Authors:

Álvaro Cerezuela Fernández de Palencia, David Ruiz de Angulo Martín, Vicente Munitiz Ruiz, Ana Conesa Pla, Juan Egea Valenzuela, Miriam Alajarin Cervera, María Muñoz Tornero, Luisa Fernanda Martínez de Haro

DOI: 10.17235/reed.2024.10800/2024 Link: <u>PubMed (Epub ahead of print)</u>

Please cite this article as:

Cerezuela Fernández de Palencia Álvaro, Ruiz de Angulo Martín David, Munitiz Ruiz Vicente, Conesa Pla Ana, Egea Valenzuela Juan, Alajarin Cervera Miriam, Muñoz Tornero María, Martínez de Haro Luisa Fernanda. Early diagnostic endoscopy and prophylactic stenting after robotic transthoracic esophagectomy - From scepticism to standardization. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2024. doi: 10.17235/reed.2024.10800/2024.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Early diagnostic endoscopy and prophylactic stenting after robotic transthoracic esophagectomy - From scepticism to standardization

Álvaro Cerezuela Fernández de Palencia¹, David Ruiz de Angulo Martín¹, Vicente Munitiz Ruiz¹, Ana Conesa Pla¹, Juan Egea Valenzuela², Miriam Alajarin Cervera², María Muñoz Tornero², Luisa F. Martínez de Haro¹

¹ Esophagogastric Surgery Unit. Department of General and Digestive Surgery. Virgen de la Arrixaca University Clinical Hospital. Murcia, Spain.

² Department of Digestive Diseases. Virgen de la Arrixaca University Clinical Hospital. Murcia, Spain.

Corresponding author:

Álvaro Cerezuela Fernández de Palencia (alvaro.cerezuela@gmail.com)

Keywords: Esophageal cancer. Self-expanding stent. Anastomotic leak. Robotic surgery. Ivor-Lewis esophagectomy.

Dear Editor,

Suture dehiscence following esophagectomy for cancer is associated with a mortality rate between 10-40%, in addition to increasing hospital stay and healthcare costs, ultimately reducing overall survival rates¹. The key principles to prevent dehiscence include proper patient selection and meticulous surgical technique that reduces tension and ischemia at the suture line. In recent decades, algorithms and procedures have been developed to decrease the incidence of thoracic and cervical dehiscence. Notable among these are the ERAS (Enhanced Recovery After Surgery) multimodal prehabilitation protocols, gastroplasty supercharging, and the use of technology such as intravenous injection of indocyanine green, which highlights the best-vascularized



area of the gastroplasty for performing the anastomosis.

The overall rate of anastomotic leaks exceeds 10% even in specialized centers². It seems logical to apply endoscopic devices preventively, which have been proven effective in treating already established dehiscence (e.g., self-expanding stents or endoscopic vacuum therapy) ^{3,4}. Accordingly, all patients except the first in our series of 9 men and 3 women who underwent fully robotic Ivor-Lewis esophagectomy between May and November 2023 underwent upper gastrointestinal endoscopy within the first 48-72 hours after surgery. Five of them (41.6%) received a prophylactic fully-covered self-expanding stent, primarily due to the presence of fibrin and/or visible sutures, which increased the likelihood of dehiscence in the following days. In two patients, the stent measured 26 x 180mm, in another two 28 x 180mm, and in one 20 x 120mm. All stents were secured with over-the-scope clips, which prevented migration in all cases. The mean hospital stay for these patients was 16 days, longer than the 8.8 days for those who did not receive a stent, but shorter than the 53.5 days for the two patients who experienced dehiscence, one without early endoscopy and the other detected during it.

Stent placement and removal were performed without complications, with removal was at 29, 33, 28, and 31 days postoperatively. One patient died due to a respiratory infection unrelated to the endoscopic procedure or a dehiscence. One patient subsequently underwent 5 sessions of pneumatic dilations for an anastomotic stricture.

In our experience, early endoscopy following robotic esophagectomy and the placement of prophylactic stents in patients at risk of dehiscence is safe and effective. Prospective studies are necessary to draw solid conclusions⁵.

References

1. Aiolfi A, Griffiths EA, Sozzi A, Manara M, Bonitta G, Bonavina L, Bona D. Effect of Anastomotic Leak on Long-Term Survival After Esophagectomy: Multivariate Meta-analysis and Restricted Mean Survival Times Examination. Ann Surg Oncol. 2023



Sep;30(9):5564-5572. doi: 10.1245/s10434-023-13670-6. Epub 2023 May 20. PMID: 37210447.

- 2. Slaman AE, Eshuis WJ, van Berge Henegouwen MI, Gisbertz SS. Improved anastomotic leakage rates after the "flap and wrap" reconstruction in Ivor Lewis esophagectomy for cancer. Dis Esophagus. 2022 Dec 31;36(1):doac036. doi: 10.1093/dote/doac036. PMID: 35724430; PMCID: PMC9817821.
- 3. Armas Conde M, Díaz-López C, Concepción-Martín V, Borque-Barrera MDP. Endoscopic vacuum therapy: management of upper gastrointestinal anastomotic leaks and esophageal perforations. Rev Esp Enferm Dig. 2024 Apr 18. doi: 10.17235/reed.2024.10424/2024. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 38634871.
- 4. Rodrigues-Pinto E, Pereira P, Ribeiro A, Moutinho-Ribeiro P, Lopes S, Macedo G. Self-expanding metal stents in postoperative esophageal leaks. Rev Esp Enferm Dig. 2016 Mar;108(3):133-7. doi: 10.17235/reed.2016.3987/2015. PMID: 26786230.
- 5. Müller PC, Morell B, Vetter D, Raptis DA, Kapp JR, Gubler C, Gutschow CA. Preemptive Endoluminal Vacuum Therapy to Reduce Morbidity After Minimally Invasive Ivor Lewis Esophagectomy: Including a Novel Grading System for Postoperative Endoscopic Assessment of GI-Anastomoses. Ann Surg. 2021 Nov 1;274(5):751-757. doi: 10.1097/SLA.00000000000005125. PMID: 34334639.