Revista Española de Enfermedades Digestivas The spanish journal of gastroenterology



A question about dyspeptic patients analyzed using psychometric scores

Authors: Rinaldo Pellicano, Marilena Durazzo

DOI: 10.17235/reed.2017.5401/2017 Link: <u>PubMed (Epub ahead of print)</u>

Please cite this article as: Pellicano Rinaldo, Durazzo Marilena. A question about dyspeptic patients analyzed using psychometric scores. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2017. doi: 10.17235/reed.2017.5401/2017.



This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



CE 5401 inglés

A question about dyspeptic patients analyzed using psychometric scores

Rinaldo Pellicano¹ and Marilena Durazzo²

¹Gastroenterology Unit. Molinette Hospital. Turin, Italy. ²Department of Medical Sciences. University of Turin. Turin, Italy

Correspondence: Rinaldo Pellicano e-mail: rinaldo_pellican@hotmail.com

Key words: Helicobacter pylori. Dyspepsia. Peptic ulcer.

Dear Editor,

Orive et al. reported the results of a randomized study that included patients with functional dyspepsia (FD) treated with standard *versus* standard plus a psychological approach. Reliability (Cronbach's alpha), validity (confirmatory factor analysis [FA]) and responsiveness were analyzed using specific questionnaires. A confirmatory FA of the Glasgow Dyspepsia Severity Score (GDSS) showed a one-factor solution model but a low Cronbach's alpha (0.61). With regard to the Dyspepsia-Related Health Scale (DRHS), the Cronbach's alpha and confirmatory FA supported a model with four inter-correlated dimensions and suggested a need to improve the "Satisfaction with dyspepsia-related health" dimension. Finally, the global scores for both GDSS and DRHS were responsive at six months post-treatment. The authors concluded that these results supported the application of the DRHS and the need to improve the "Satisfaction with dyspepsia-related health" dimension.

The cohort was described as having FD, without any organic disease that could explain the symptoms, but other details are lacking. The "umbrella" term FD includes patients with the absence of structural disease, as shown by upper gastrointestinal endoscopy. Although the pathophysiology of FD is multifactorial, the eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection is associated with a small (10%) but significant therapeutic gain compared to placebo (2). The Kyoto global consensus report on *H. pylori* gastritis indicated that dyspeptic patients with a negative endoscopy, who experience sustained symptom control after *H. pylori* eradication, are classified as

Revista Española de Enfermedades Digestivas

H. pylori-associated dyspepsia cases. Conversely, when symptoms are not resolved in the long-term after a successful eradication, these cases are still classified as FD (3). Hence, the definitions of gastritis and *H. pylori status* remain crucial before classifying the patients as FD.

This is an important point and can influence the interpretation of the results of studies in this field.

The endoscopic and bacterial status of the patient cohort would enrich the study by Orive et al.

References

1. Orive M, Antón-Ladislao A, González N, et al. New psychometric data from the Spanish Sc measures. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2017. In press. DOI: 10.17235/reed.2017.4911/2017

2. Pellicano R, Ribaldone DG, Fagoonee S, et al. A 2016 panorama of Helicobacter pylori infe Key messages for clinicians. Panminerva Med 2016;58:304-17.

3. Sugano K, Tack J, Kuipers EJ, et al. Kyoto global consensus report on Helicobacter pylori gastritis. Gut 2015;64:1353-67. DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309252