Revista Española de Enfermedades Digestivas The spanish journal of gastroenterology

Title:

MRCP before ERCP: the added value in the management of common bile duct stones

Authors: Jesús Espinel Díez, María Eugenia Pinedo, Belén Bernad

DOI: 10.17235/reed.2018.5451/2018 Link: <u>PubMed (Epub ahead of print)</u>

Please cite this article as: Espinel Díez Jesús, Pinedo María Eugenia, Bernad Belén . MRCP before ERCP: the added value in the management of common bile duct stones. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2018. doi: 10.17235/reed.2018.5451/2018.



This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

CE 5451 inglés

MRCP before ERCP: the added value in the management of common bile duct stones

Jesús Espinel¹, M.ª Eugenia Pinedo² and Belén Bernad³

Services of ¹Digestive Diseases and ²Radiodiagnosis. Hospital Universitario de León. León, Spain. ³Service of Digestive Diseases. Hospital Universitario de Burgos. Burgos, Spain

Correspondence: Jesús Espinel Diez e-mail: espinel.jesus@gmail.com

Key words: Common bile duct stones. ERCP. MRCP. EUS.

Dear Editor,

Many image techniques (IT) allow the confirmation or exclusion of the presence of common bile duct stones (CBDS). An abdominal ultrasound and liver function test are performed first. Additional techniques should include magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS); both are recommended in medium risk CBDS patients (sensitivity 93-95% and specificity 96-97%) (1,2). As experts argue, IT must be less invasive, accurate and cost-effective. Some endoscopists consider that they must provide an added value and not just confirm the presence of CBDS. The technique should allow adequate information to be obtained during the endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) to optimize patient management.

EUS is recommended in specific situations such as the presence of a pacemaker, metal valves and intracranial clips, claustrophobia, morbid obesity, critical patients in the intensive care unit and patients with a negative MRCP and a moderate-high suspicion of CBDS (1,2). MRCP is widely available and non-invasive, sedation is not required, intrahepatic ducts can be explored and is useful in patients with a modified gastroduodenal anatomy. In addition, images can be stored and reviewed after the procedure and the procedure is also cost-effective (1-3).

Discussion

The added value of MRCP before performing ERCP is attractive as it provides a "picture" of the bile ducts that allows:

1. The evaluation of the difficulty of the ERCP procedure and its duration and optimization of the endoscopists' schedule, providing better information to the patient and their family.

2. To determine the pancreatic duct anatomy in order to avoid its cannulation.

3. To determine the diameter of the bile ducts, number, morphology and sizes of the stones. This information can be the key to the success of the procedure in medium risk patients, especially those with respiratory difficulties during ERCP, as well as situations where radiological image quality is suboptimal.

4. To discard biliary pathology that can be difficult to evaluate during ERCP and that can change patient management (e.g.: Mirizzi).

EUS is very useful in many different pathologies across our specialty. However, we consider we can't forget MRCP possibilities. In most cases, MRCP represents the most secure and acceptable technic in patients suspected of CBDS (1,4), knowing that EUS experts would prefer it instead. In our own experience, MRCP provides an important previous added value to manage this type of patient and may also impact on the reduction of radiation exposure.

References

1. Williams E, Beckingham I, El Sayed G, et al. Updated guideline on the management of common bile duct stones (CBDS). Gut 2017;66(5):765-82. DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312317. PMID: 28122906

2. Arain MA Freeman ML. Choledocholithiasis: clinical manifestations, diagnosis, and management. UpToDate: Aug 10, 2017.

3. Morris S, Gurusamy KS, Sheringham J, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of endoscopic ultrasound versus magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography in patients with suspected common bile duct stones. PLoS One 2015;10(3):e0121699. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0121699. PMID: 25799113

4. NICE. Gallstone disease: diagnosis and management. October 2014. Available from: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg188.

A certe