### Revista Española de Enfermedades Digestivas The spanish journal of gastroenterology

#### Title:

Accuracy of malignancy criteria for an intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. Should we have blind faith in consensus guidelines?

Authors: Juan Carlos Sebastián Tomás, Carmen Payá Llorente, Inmaculada Ortiz Tarín

DOI: 10.17235/reed.2018.5573/2018 Link: <u>PubMed (Epub ahead of print)</u>

#### Please cite this article as:

Sebastián Tomás Juan Carlos, Payá Llorente Carmen, Ortiz Tarín Inmaculada. Accuracy of malignancy criteria for an intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. Should we have blind faith in consensus guidelines?. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2018. doi: 10.17235/reed.2018.5573/2018.



This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

## Revista Española de Enfermedades Digestivas

#### CE 5573 inglés

Accuracy of malignancy criteria for an intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. Should we have blind faith in consensus guidelines?

Juan Carlos Sebastián-Tomás, Carmen Payá-Llorente and Inmaculada Ortiz-Tarín

Department of General and Digestive Surgery. Hospital Universitario Doctor Peset. Valencia, Spain

Correspondence: Juan Carlos Sebastián Tomás

e-mail: jcst1990@gmail.com

**Key words:** Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. Pancreatic cystic neoplasm. Criteria of malignancy.

#### Dear Editor,

Based on a recent review with regard to recommendations for an intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN) (1), we want to highlight the fact that different studies have limitations when identifying potentially life threatening branch-duct IPMN. Both the Fukuoka criteria published in 2017 (2) and the American Gastroenterological Association guidelines in 2015 (3) have a high specificity and positive predictive values (1,4) (Table 1). However, the lack of criteria for malignancy does not completely reduce the risk of high grade dysplasia (HGD) or invasive carcinoma (IC). The 5-year IC risk for IPMN without malignancy criteria is 2-3% (1).

The cyst size value for malignancy remains controversial. In the study reported by Sahora et al. (5), HGD was present in 6.5% of patients without malignancy criteria and a cyst size < 3 cm. Moreover, only a cyst size > 3 cm increased the possibility of IC up to 18%. Consequently, cyst size must be treated with caution and a close follow-up should be implemented. A rapid rate of cyst growth > 5 mm/2 years is included as a worrisome feature in the last review of Fukouka guidelines (2).

## Revista Española de Enfermedades Digestivas

Endoscopic ultrasonography with fine needle aspiration is currently a fundamental diagnostic method for the management of IPMN. Both an elevated serum level of carbohydrate antigen 19-9 and high grade epithelial atypia are included in the 2017 Fukuoka guidelines (2).

Therefore, we want to highlight that although the different guidelines published for the diagnosis and management of this neoplasm are a starting point for the evaluation of our patients, we need to be careful when applying the different algorithms proposed in the international consensus guidelines.

#### References

1. Moris M, Wallace MB. Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms and mucinous cystadenomas: current status and recommendations. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2017;109(5):358-67. DOI: 10.17235/reed.2017.4630/2016

2. Tanaka M, Fernández-Del Castillo C, Kamisawa T, et al. Revisions of international consensus Fukuoka guidelines for the management of IPMN of the pancreas. Pancreatology 2017;17(5):738-53. DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2017.07.007

 Vege SS, Ziring B, Jain R, et al. American Gastroenterological Association Institute guideline on the diagnosis and management of asymptomatic neoplastic pancreatic cysts. Gastroenterology 2015;148(4):819-22. DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.01.015

4. Kaimakliotis P, Riff B, Pourmand K, et al. Sendai and Fukuoka Consensus Guidelines identify advanced neoplasia in patients with suspected mucinous cystic neoplasms of the pancreas. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;13(10):1808-15. DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2015.03.017

5. Sahora K, Mino-Kenudson M, Brugge W, et al. Branch duct intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms: does cyst size change the tip of the scale? A critical analysis of the revised international consensus guidelines in a large single-institutional series. Ann Surg 2013;258(3):466-75. DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3182a18f48

# Revista Española de Enfermedades Digestivas

### Table 1. The accuracy of malignancy criteria for IPMN according to retrospectivestudies and their major limitations

| Sendai criteria 2006             | Fukuoka guidelines 2012                                    | AGA guidelines 2015      |
|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Sensitivity = 91.7%              | Sensitivity = 55.6%  | Sensitivity = 62%        |
| Specificity = 21.5%              | Specificity = 73%  | Specificity = 79%        |
| PPV = 21%, NPV = 91.9% (4)       | PPV = 32%, NPV = 87.9% (4)                                 | PPV = 57%, NPV = 82% (1) |
|                                  |  |                          |
| High sensitivity, a low PPV, and | Higher specificity and a lower sensitivity, with a risk of |                          |
| an increased risk of             | being too conservative and thus tumors with malignant foci |                          |
| unnecessary pancreatic           | could go unnoticed   |                          |
| resections                       |  |                          |

AGA: American Gastroenterological Association; PPV: positive predictive value; NPV:

negative predictive value.