

Title:

Upper gastrointestinal complaints in celiac patients at diagnosis. Association with endoscopic and histopathological findings

Authors:

Lorete Maria da Silva Kotze, Luiz Roberto Kotze, Raquel Canzi Almada Souza, Renato Nisihara

DOI: 10.17235/reed.2021.8263/2021 Link: <u>PubMed (Epub ahead of print)</u>

Please cite this article as:

Kotze Lorete Maria da Silva, Kotze Luiz Roberto, Souza Raquel Canzi Almada, Nisihara Renato. Upper gastrointestinal complaints in celiac patients at diagnosis. Association with endoscopic and histopathological findings . Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2021. doi: 10.17235/reed.2021.8263/2021.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Upper gastrointestinal complaints in celiac patients at diagnosis. Association with endoscopic and histopathological findings

Lorete Maria da Silva Kotze¹, Luiz Roberto Kotze¹, Raquel Canzi Almada de Souza¹ and Renato Nisihara^{1,2}

¹Clinical Hospital, Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba, Brazil. ²Department of Medicine, Positivo University, Curitiba, Brazil.

Orcid

Lorete M.S. Kotze: 0000-0003-2683-6132. Luiz Roberto Kotze: 0000-0001-8456-4361. Raquel Canzi Almada de Souza: 0000-0002-4860-3340 Renato Nisihara: 0000-0002-1234-8093.

Address for correspondence: Renato Nisihara. E-Mail: renatonisihara@gmail.com Medicine Department, Positivo University.

Conflict of interests: none Funding source: none

Dear Editor,

This study investigated the prevalence of upper gastrointestinal symptoms in Brazilian patients at the diagnosis of celiac disease (CD), associating with endoscopic and histopathological findings. We did a retrospective study including adult patients diagnosed with CD during January 2013 to December 2019. Charts were reviewed for demographic, clinical profile, gastrointestinal symptoms. Duodenal biopsies and gastric biopsies were performed in cases with macroscopic alteration. Were studied 127 patients (80.3% women, median age of 36 years). Flatulence, abdominal distension and pain were the most frequent general complaints. Constipation was more frequent



in women (p=0.0008;OR=13.1;CI=1.7–98.2). Concerning the upper symptoms the main findings were gastroesophageal reflux (48%) and epigastric pain (40.1%). Non-erosive esophagitis was observed in 28.3% with higher prevalence in men (p=0.052). Macroscopic gastritis was found in 44.8% patients and among these, 62.5% presented active or inactive gastritis on histological analysis. Endoscopic evaluation of the duodenum showed changes in 63.4% of cases, being more frequent in men (p=0.028;OR=3.3;Cl=1.0–10.5). Patients with complaints of GER presented non-erosive esophagitis in the endoscopy in 27.4% of cases. Patients who reported epigastric pain showed gastritis in endoscopic examination in 49.0% of the cases. Our results indicate that a normal macroscopic appearance in the esophagus and/or stomach can predict normal histology in adult suspected of CD submitted to upper endoscopy. We also suggest that esophageal and gastric biopsies cannot be considered as a routine procedure, only are indicated when any abnormality is detected, allowing reduction in costs and time of endoscopy. We did not find an association between upper complaints of GER and epigastric pain with endoscopic nor histological findings. Concluding, in our sample, at diagnosis celiac patients present symptoms related to upper digestive tract, being the most frequent gastroesophageal reflux and epigastric pain. These complaints did not show total concordance with the endoscopic findings.

REFERENCES

- [1] Caio G, Volta U, Sapone A, et al. Celiac diseasse: a comprehensive current review. BMC Medicine. 2019; 17:142.
- [2] Ehsani-Ardakani MJ, Rostami Nejad M, Vollanacci V, et al. Gastrointestinal and non-gastrointestinal presentation in patients with celiac disease. Arch Iran Med. 2013; 16:78-82.
- [3] Lucendo AJ. Esophageal manifestations of celiac disease. Dis Esophagus. 2011; 24:470-5.
- [4] Lebwohl B, Green PH, Genta RM. The coeliac stomach: gastritis in patients with coeliac disease. Alimen Pharmacol Ther. 2015; 42:180-7.
- [5] Rodríguez-Martín L, Vaquero L, Vivas S. New celiac disease biomarkers. Rev Esp Enferm Dig. 2020;112(10):792-796.

REVISTA ESPAÑOLA DE ENFERMEDADES DIGESTIVAS The Spanish Journal of Gastroenterology

Table 1. Endoscopic and biopsy findings in the celiac patients studied.

	Female n (%)	Male n (%)	Total n (%)
Esophagus			
Endoscopic finding			
Normal	75/102 (73.5)	14/25 (56.0)	89/127 (70.1)
Esophagitis ^a	25/102 (23.5)	11/25 (44.0)	36/127 (28.3)
Other	2/102 (1.9)	0	2/127 (1.6)
Stomach			
Endoscopic finding			
Normal	56/102 (54.9)	12/25 (48.0)	68/127 (53.5)
Gastritis ^b	45/102 (44.1)	12/25 (48.0)	57/127 (44.8)
Other	1/102 (0.9)	1/25 (4.0)	2 /127 (1.7)
Gastric biopsy			
Normal	18/49 (36.7)	5/15 (33.3)	23/64 (35.9)
Gastritis ^c	31/49 (63.3)	9/15 (60.0)	40/64 (62.5)
Ulcer	0	1/15 (6.7)	1/64 (1.5)
Duodenum			
Endoscopic finding			
Normal	40/102 (39.2)	4/25 (16.0)	44/127 (34.6)
Alteration ^d	62/102 (60.8)	21/25 (84.0)	83/127 (63.4)
Other	0	0	0
Duodenal biopsy			
Marsh I	6/102 (5.8)	1/25 (4.0)	7/127 (5.5)
Marsh II	30/102 (29.4)	7/25 (28.0)	37/127 (29.2)
Marsh III	66/102 (64.8)	17/25 (68.0)	83/127 (65.3)

^a P = 0.052 ; ^b P = 0.88 ; ^c P = 0.7 ; ^d P = 0.028 OR=3.3 (Cl = 1.0 - 10.5)