

Title:

Perforation of a decubitus gastric ulcer during intragastric balloon retrieval after surreptitious withdrawal of omeprazole

Authors:

Andrés José del Pozo-García, Teresa Valdeés-Lacasa, Diana Fresneda-Cuesta, Fernando Sánchez-Gómez, Santiago Tamames Gómez

DOI: 10.17235/reed.2022.8543/2021 Link: PubMed (Epub ahead of print)

Please cite this article as:

del Pozo-García Andrés José, Valdeés-Lacasa Teresa, Fresneda-Cuesta Diana, Sánchez-Gómez Fernando, Tamames Gómez Santiago. Perforation of a decubitus gastric ulcer during intragastric balloon retrieval after surreptitious withdrawal of omeprazole. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2022. doi: 10.17235/reed.2022.8543/2021.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Carta 8543 inglés

Perforation of a decubitus gastric ulcer during intragastric balloon retrieval after surreptitious withdrawal of omeprazole

Andrés J. del Pozo-García^{1,3}, Teresa Valdés-Lacasa¹, Diana Fresneda-Cuesta¹, Fernando Sánchez-Gómez², Santiago Tamames Gómez¹ Obesity Unit. Clínicas Diego de León. Hospital Vithas La Milagrosa. Madrid.² General and Digestive Surgery Unit. Hospital Vithas La Milagrosa. Madrid. Board of GETTEMO

Correspondence author:

Andrés J. del Pozo-García, andresjdelpozo@gmail.com

Disclosure statement: Dr. A.J. del Pozo-García has performed consultant activities during 2020-2021 for Apollo Endosurgery.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: Intragastric balloon. Gastric ulcer. Perforation. Decubitus. Obesity.

Dear Editor,

The case was a 24-year-old male, obesity class-1 (BMI 30.12 kg/m), with no comorbidities. He presented to our Obesity Unit (June 2019) and a 6-month intragastric balloon (IGB) (Medsil, Moskovskaya Oblast, Russia) filled with 550 ml of serum was placed. He remained asymptomatic and maintained a correct adherence to nutritional counseling for four months. In October, the patient had lost 13 kilograms (TBWL: 15.2 %), remained asymptomatic and was taking PPIs. The next month he referred the onset of intermittent epigastric pain, after abandoning omeprazole due to his own choice. The abdominal examination was normal and he restarted liquids and lansoprazole. A few days later, he was admitted to the Regional Hospital due to continuous epigastralgia, radiating to the back, with vomiting. Abdominal examination, ECG and X-rays showed no findings. A CT scan showed gastric wall thickening but no perforation signs. The patient was transferred to our hospital for IGB removal (TBWL: 17.6 %; BMI 24.8 kg/m²). Esophago-gastro-duodenoscopy (Fig. 1) showed intact IGB and fundal food remains. Puncture-aspiration in retroflexion and visualization of a deep gastric ulcer (Fig. 1A) in the upper body was suggestive of a pressure ulcer. Insufflation was decreased, recovering a frontal approach from the cardia for retrieval. Suddenly, a soft tissue mass, compatible with the omentum, rose up to the esophagus (Fig. 1B). Simultaneous abdominal distention suggested ulcer perforation. The endoscope was removed and he underwent an urgent laparotomy that confirmed the existence of a perforated ulcer in the anterior wall of the gastric body (Fig. 1C). IGB retrieval by perforation hole, minigastrectomy, omentoplasty and peritoneal lavage were performed and the patient was discharged four days later, as he was asymptomatic. The pathology analysis diagnosed a pressure ulcer.



Discussion

This case serves to emphasize that, although IGB achieves good results with a good safety profile (1), it is not exempt from serious complications such as perforation, necrosis or intestinal occlusion (1-3). We must warn about it and demand scrupulous compliance with the prescribed treatment, following expert recommendations (4,5). The surreptitious withdrawal of PPIs by our patient caused the ulcer and subsequent perforation.

REFERENCES

1. Moura D, Oliveira J, De Moura EG, et al. Effectiveness of intragastric balloon for obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis based on randomized control trials. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2016;12(2):420-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.soard.2015.10.077

2. Trang J, Lee SS, Miller A, Cruz Pico CX, et al. Incidence of nausea and vomiting after intragastric balloon placement in bariatric patients - A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Surg 2018;57:22-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2018.06.038

3. Stavrou G, Tsaousi G, Kotzampassi K. Life-threatening visceral complications after intragastric balloon insertion: Is the device,

the patient or the doctor to blame? Endosc Int Open 2019;7(2):E122-E129. DOI: 10.1055/a-0809-4994

4. Neto MG, Silva LB, Grecco E, et al. Brazilian Intragastric Balloon Consensus Statement (BIBC): practical guidelines based on experience of over 40,000 cases. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2018;14(2):151-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.soard.2017.09.528

5. Espinet Coll E, Turró Arau R, Orive Calzada A, et al. Main prophylactic measures in bariatric endoscopy. Spanish Expert

Recommendations Guideline. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2020;112(6):491-500. DOI: 10.17235/reed.2020.6970/2020



Fig. 1. During balloon removal, a deep ulcer was visualized after IGB deflation in the gastric upper body (A). Approaching the balloon from the cardia, a soft tissue mass, omentum-like, rises through the cardia to the esophagus (C). The patient underwent a laparotomy that



confirmed the necrotic gastric ulcer in the anterior wall of the proximal body.