

Title:

Relevance of patient-reported outcome measures (PROM) and patient-reported experience measures (PREM) to assess disease status and quality of care in patients with inflammatory bowel disease

Authors: Yamile Zabana, Xavier Calvet

DOI: 10.17235/reed.2023.9563/2022 Link: <u>PubMed (Epub ahead of print)</u>

Please cite this article as:

Zabana Yamile, Calvet Xavier. Relevance of patient-reported outcome measures (PROM) and patient-reported experience measures (PREM) to assess disease status and quality of care in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2023. doi: 10.17235/reed.2023.9563/2022.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Relevance of patient-reported outcome measures (PROM) and patient-reported experience measures (PREM) to assess disease status and quality of care in patients with inflammatory bowel disease

Yamile Zabana^{1,2}, Xavier Calvet^{2,3}

¹Hospital Universitari Mútua de Terrassa. Terrassa, Barcelona. Spain. ²Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red en Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas (CIBERehd). ³Hospital Universitari Parc Taulí. Institut d'Investigació i Innovació Parc Taulí (I3PT-CERCA). Sabadell, Barcelona. Spain. Departament of Medicine. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. Barcelona, Spain

Correspondence. Xavier Calvet e-mail: xcalvet@tauli.cat

The 21st century has brough us a paradigm shift regarding patients care: the conventional physician-focused model of care has now changed into a patient-centered mode that puts the patient at the center of his own healthcare. Establishing a non-prescriptive and collaborative therapeutic approach, empowering patients to make major decisions on their management, and strict respect to the patient's autonomy are the major drivers of this patient-centered care. Among other multiple collectives, this change has greatly impacted patients and physicians who deal with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).

This new model has fuelled the development of tools to capture the patients' perspective regarding their health status and the quality of the care they receive as another critical aspect of this new patient-centered approach. However, capturing the patients' opinion in a reliable and reproductible fashion is a tremendous challenging task in the management of IBD especially when the objective is to measure and monitor disease activity.

PROM (patient-reported outcome measures) and PREM (patient-reported experience measures) are two completely different tools although, by definition, they're both self-



administered. PROM are directed to measure the patients' assessment of their own state of health and overall wellbeing; PROM can assess all relevant health outcomes from quality of life to work disability. However, attention has been recently put on PROM to measure disease activity and response to treatment (1). As a matter of fact, the Food and Drugs Administration (FDA) recommended including PROM as the endpoints of studies to evaluate new drugs against IBD (2). Many different PROM questionnaires have been developed to this date. The most widely used are the PROM-2 and PROM-3 questionnaires derived from the Crohn's disease activity index (CDAI), the IBD disk, developed by Gosh et al. back in 2017 (3), and the IBD control, a set of PROM proposed for the management of IBD by International Consortium for Health Outcomes Measurement (ICHOM) expert consensus (4). However, if self-administered, all tools used to describe clinical activity can be theoretically used as PROM like the SCCAI (simple clinical colitis activity), PUCAI (pediatric ulcerative colitis activity index), Harvey Bradshaw or partial Mayo score.

PROM can evaluate disease activity in every patient. Furthermore, sequential measures may depict the patients' perception on the evolution of their own IBD. They should theoretically capture health aspects that accomplish two major conditions: being considered important and reliably evaluable by patients. It has been suggested that PROM should be a major tool for patient management by guiding IBD therapy in a treat-to-target strategy (5). However, fulfilling all the above-mentioned conditions is still an unsolved challenge. A probable major reason for this is that IBD symptoms extensively overlap with other digestive problems. Specifically, irritable bowel syndrome symptoms are highly prevalent in patients with IBD (6). Even for an expert gastroenterologist, it is often impossible to differentiate between the two entities based on the symptoms reported. Differential diagnosis often requires complementary examinations as radiological or endoscopic procedures. Thus, it should come as a surprise that patient-reported symptoms correlate better compared to clinical assessment with the objective indicators of IBD activity.

In fact, currently, none of the PROM developed for IBD meet the requirements of the FDA guidelines on PROM validation. FDA has recommended a standard validation approach by confirming the construct and criterion validity, reproducibility, and



sensitivity to change (7). In addition, most studies suggest that PROM correlate poorly with objective measures of IBD activity as endoscopy especially in Crohn's disease (CD). For example, Dragasevic et al. found a moderate correlation between PROM-2 and endoscopic activity for ulcerative colitis (UC), but a negative correlation between PROM and endoscopic activity in CD (8).

By contrast, PREM are measurements of the patients' perception of quality of care. Compared to PROM, PREM are not intended to be used individually. Contrarily, they should be used aggregately to provide devoted health-professionals and IBD units with feedback on the patients-perceived quality of care. PREAM are also useful to point the areas suitable for quality improvement. Two major studies had developed quality indicators from the patients' point of view, the Van der Eijk et al. (9) questionnaire for patients with inflammatory bowel disease (QUOTE-IBD) and the IQ CARO project (10). Although PREM have been evaluated much less than PROM, preliminary data using IQCARO quality indicators suggest that specialized IBD care is related to better quality scores (11) while higher IQ CARO scores correlate with better disease outcomes (12).

In conclusion, PROM and PREM —the new players in the IBD arena— have come to stay. Their value and usefulness, however, are still under discussion. Current evidence suggests that PROM are markers of global digestive wellbeing. However, they correlated poorly with the activity of individual diseases and, specifically, with IBD activity. Sequential PROM measurement might be useful to raise concern on new flares. However, there is little evidence supporting its use in this setting. Future research should focus on validating the available tools and testing its usefulness in different settings. By any means, it seems that although PROM will become an additional source of information, it will not significantly change current management. Regarding PREM, evidence on its usefulness is scarce, although they seem very promising tools to measure patients' satisfaction with care and signal areas of care with room for improvement. Furthermore, recording the patient's perspective may help close a 360° evaluation on quality of care.

Globally, PREM and PROM represent a step further into the patient's empowerment for managing IBD. Further developments will set their exact utility and value to improve quality of care and patients' outcomes.



Conflicts of interest: Y. Zabana received support for conference attendance, speaker fees, research support, and consulting fees from AbbVie, Adacyte, Almirall, Amgen, Dr Falk Pharma, FAES Pharma, Ferring, Janssen, MSD, Otsuka, Pfizer, Shire, Takeda, Galapagos, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Tillots. X. Calvet received support for conference attendance, speaker fees, research support, and consulting fees from AbbVie, Janssen, Kern, Takeda, Galapagos, and Ferring.

REFERENCES

- Achleitner U, Coenen M, Colombel J-F, et al. Identification of areas of functioning and disability addressed in inflammatory bowel disease-specific patient reported outcome measures. J Crohns Colitis 2012;6(5):507-17.
- 2. Arpinelli F, Bamfi F. The FDA guidance for industry on PROs: the point of view of a pharmaceutical company. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2006;4:85.
- Ghosh S, Louis E, Beaugerie L, et al. Development of the IBD Disk: A Visual Selfadministered Tool for Assessing Disability in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2017;23(3):333-40.
- 4. Kim AH, Roberts C, Feagan BG, et al. Developing a Standard Set of Patient-Centred Outcomes for Inflammatory Bowel Disease-an International, Crossdisciplinary Consensus. J Crohns Colitis 2018;12(4):408-18.
- Peyrin-Biroulet L, Sandborn W, Sands BE, et al. Selecting Therapeutic Targets in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (STRIDE): Determining Therapeutic Goals for Treatto-Target. Am J Gastroenterol 2015;110(9):1324-38.
- 6. Stanisic V, Quigley EMM. The overlap between IBS and IBD: what is it and what does it mean? Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;8(2):139-45.
- 7. El-Matary W. Patient-reported outcome measures in inflammatory bowel disease. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;28(10):536-42.
- Dragasevic S, Sokic-Milutinovic A, Stojkovic Lalosevic M, et al. Correlation of Patient-Reported Outcome (PRO-2) with Endoscopic and Histological Features in Ulcerative Colitis and Crohn's Disease Patients. Gastroenterol Res Pract



2020;2020:2065383.

- 9. van der Eijk I, Sixma H, Smeets T, et al. Quality of health care in inflammatory bowel disease: development of a reliable questionnaire (QUOTE-IBD) and first results. Am J Gastroenterol 2001;96(12):3329-36.
- Calvet X, Saldaña R, Carpio D, et al. Improving Quality of Care in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Through Patients' Eyes: IQCARO Project. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2020;26(5):782-91.
- Calvet X, Casellas F, Saldaña R, et al. Patient-Evaluated Quality of Care is Related to Better Inflammatory Bowel Disease Outcomes: The IQCARO II Project. Patient 2021;14(5):625-34.
- 12. Casellas F, Calvet X, Carpio D, et al. Factors associated with quality of care in inflammatory bowel diseases: a view from patient's side using the IQCARO quality of care decalogue. BMC Gastroenterol 2021;21(1):412.